

Endotrivial complexes (and the trivial source ring)

Sam K. Miller ¹

¹University of California, Santa Cruz

September 12, 2023

Conventions & Notation:

- G is a finite group.
- p is a prime.
- k is a field of characteristic p .
- $s_p(G)$ denotes the set of all p -subgroups of G .
- All kG -modules are finitely generated.
- $kG\mathbf{mod}$ denotes the category of all finitely generated kG -modules.

Definition (p -permutation module)

- A kG -module M is a p -permutation module if for all $P \in s_p(G)$, $\text{res}_P^G M$ is a permutation module. Equivalently, M is a direct summand of a permutation module.
- ${}_kG\mathbf{triv}$ is the category of p -permutation modules.

Example

- If $p \nmid |G|$, ${}_kG\mathbf{triv} = {}_kG\mathbf{mod}$.
- If G is a p -group, p -permutation modules are permutation modules.

Theorem (Balmer, Gallauer '22)

$$K^b({}_kG\mathbf{triv})/K_{ac}^b({}_kG\mathbf{triv}) \cong D^b({}_kG\mathbf{mod}).$$

Definition (Brauer construction)

- Given $M \in {}_k G \mathbf{mod}$ and $P, Q \in \mathcal{S}_p(G)$ with $Q < P \leq G$, the *trace map* $\mathrm{tr}_Q^P : M^Q \rightarrow M^P$ is

$$\mathrm{tr}_Q^P(m) = \sum_{p \in [P/Q]} p \cdot m.$$

- Given any p -subgroup $P \leq G$, the *Brauer construction* is the additive functor $- (P) : {}_k G \mathbf{mod} \rightarrow {}_k [N_G(P)/P] \mathbf{mod}$ induced by:

$$M(P) := M^P / \sum_{Q < P} \mathrm{tr}_Q^P M^Q.$$

Example

If X is a G -set, then $(k[X])(P) \cong k[X^P]$ as $k[N_G(P)/P]$ -modules.

The Brauer construction is very well-behaved with p -permutation modules. It is responsible for many “local-to-global” phenomena.

Properties

Let $M, N \in {}_kG\text{triv}$.

- $M(P) \in {}_{k[N_G(P)/P]}\text{triv}$.
- $M(P) \otimes_k N(P) \cong (M \otimes_k N)(P)$ for all $P \in s_p(G)$.
- $M(P)^* \cong M^*(P)$ for all $P \in s_p(G)$.
- $f : M \rightarrow N$ is split injective (resp. surjective) if and only if $f(P) : M(P) \rightarrow N(P)$ is injective (resp. surjective) for all $P \in s_p(G)$.

...and many more, but the complete list does not fit within the margins of this talk.

Definition (Endotrivial complexes)

- A bounded chain complex $C \in Ch^b({}_{kG}\mathbf{triv})$ is *endotrivial* if

$$\mathrm{End}_k(C) \cong C^* \otimes_k C \simeq k[0],$$

i.e. $C^* \otimes_k C \cong k \oplus D$ for some contractible chain complex D .

- Let $\mathcal{E}_k(G)$ denote the set of homotopy classes of endotrivial complexes. $(\mathcal{E}_k(G), \otimes_k)$ forms an abelian group.

Side note: another plausible generalization of endotriviality is complexes C for which $C^* \otimes_k C \simeq k$ in $K^b(\underline{{}_{kG}\mathbf{triv}})$.

Theorem (M.)

Let $C \in \text{Ch}^b({}_{kG}\text{triv})$. The following are equivalent:

- C is endotrivial.
- For every $P \in \mathcal{S}_P(G)$, $C(P)$ has nonzero homology in exactly one degree, with that homology having k -dimension 1.

Proof: (sketch)

(\implies): Let C be endotrivial and P a p -subgroup of G . Then properties of the Brauer construction imply

$$(C(P))^* \otimes_k C(P) \cong (C^* \otimes_k C)(P) \simeq k(P) \cong k.$$

The Künneth formula implies the rest.

(\Leftarrow): Suppose for all p -subgroups P , $C(P)$ has nonzero homology in exactly one degree with k -dimension 1. Then the Künneth formula and properties of the Brauer quotient imply that

$$H_0((C^* \otimes_k C)(P)) \cong k,$$

and $(C^* \otimes_k C)(P)$ is acyclic at all other degrees. Denote the differentials of $C^* \otimes_k C$ by $\{d_i\}$.

- If C has length n , then by homology, $d_{n-1}(P)$ is injective for all p -subgroups P , hence split injective.
- Similarly, $d_{-n+2}(P)$ is surjective for all p -subgroups P , hence split surjective.

Thus $C^* \otimes_k C \simeq D$, for some complex D with two fewer nonzero terms. An inductive argument shaves down D until one nonzero degree remains, and we are done. □

Observe: for any p -subgroup $P \leq G$, the Brauer construction induces a group homomorphism $\gamma(P) : \mathcal{E}_k(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_k(N_G(P)/P)$.

Definition (h-marks)

If C is an endotrivial complex, let $h(C)$ denote the degree in which C has nontrivial homology. If $P \in \mathcal{S}_p(G)$, say $h(C(P))$ is the *h-mark of C at P* .

Question: How much do “local” homological properties, like the h-marks, determine the structure of an endotrivial complex?

Answer: Pretty much completely.

Theorem (M.)

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon : \mathcal{E}_k(G) &\rightarrow \left(\prod_{P \in \mathcal{S}_p(G)} \mathbb{Z} \right)^G \\ [C] &\mapsto (h(C(P)))_{P \in \mathcal{S}_p(G)} \end{aligned}$$

is a group homomorphism, with kernel the torsion subgroup of $\mathcal{E}_k(G)$,

$$\{k_\omega[0] \mid \omega \in \text{Hom}(G, k^\times)\}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{E}_k(G)$ is finitely generated with \mathbb{Z} -rank bounded by the number of conjugacy classes of p -subgroups.

Proof idea: similar to the previous proof, shave off contractible terms.

Why require p -permutation modules? (besides their friendliness)

Theorem (M.)

Let C be an endotrivial complex of kG -modules. Set

$$\Delta G = \{(g, g) \in G \times G \mid g \in G\}$$

and identify G with ΔG . $\text{ind}_{\Delta G}^{G \times G} C$, regarded as a chain complex of (kG, kG) -bimodules, is a splendid Rickard autoequivalence of kG .

Corollary (M.)

Let (K, \mathcal{O}, k) be a p -modular system large enough for G , and let C be an endotrivial complex of kG -modules. There exists a unique (up to iso.) endotrivial complex \hat{C} of $\mathcal{O}G$ -modules such that $k \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \hat{C} \cong C$.

Question: How can we go about determining generators of $\mathcal{E}_k(G)$?
One approach we take uses ideas from Bouc's theory of biset functors.

Definition (Faithful constituents)

Let $s_p^{\mathfrak{A}}(G)$ denote the set of normal p -subgroups of G . The *faithful constituent* of $\mathcal{E}_k(G)$, denoted $\partial\mathcal{E}_k(G)$, is

$$\partial\mathcal{E}_k(G) = \bigcap_{1 < P \in s_p^{\mathfrak{A}}(G)} \ker(-)(P).$$

$$\partial\mathcal{E}_k(G) \leq \mathcal{E}_k(G).$$

Elements of $\partial\mathcal{E}_k(G)$ have nonzero h-marks only at p -subgroups of G which do not contain a nontrivial normal subgroup of G .

Theorem

$$\prod_{P \in \mathcal{S}_p^{\Delta}(G)} \partial \mathcal{E}_k(G/P) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_k(G)$$
$$([C_P])_P \mapsto \bigotimes_{P \in \mathcal{S}_p^{\Delta}(G)} \text{inf}_{G/P}^G [C_P]$$

is an isomorphism.

Strategy: Determine $\partial \mathcal{E}_k(G)$ to inductively build up $\mathcal{E}_k(G)$.

Determining $\mathcal{E}_k(G)$ for abelian groups:

- Since every subgroup is normal, $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} \partial\mathcal{E}_k(G) \in \{0, 1\}$, corresponding to the h-mark at 1.
- If G has p -rank 0,

$$\partial\mathcal{E}_k(G) = \mathcal{E}_k(G) \cong \{k_{\omega}[i] \mid \omega \in \text{Hom}(G, k^{\times}), i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

- If G has p -rank 1, there exists a periodic resolution of the trivial kG -module k by projectives $C \rightarrow k$. Truncating such a resolution appropriately gives a faithful endotrivial complex and generates $\partial\mathcal{E}_k(G)$.
- We can show $\partial\mathcal{E}_k(G) = 0$ when G has p -rank > 1 using that:
 - the trivial kG -module has periodic cohomology if and only if G has p -rank 1.
 - a theorem of Bouc which gives a local condition for when a complex of p -permutation modules is homotopy equivalent to a complex of projectives.

Theorem (M.)

Let G be an abelian group. Let $s_p^{(0,1)}(G)$ denote the set of all p -subgroups of G for which G/P has p -rank 0 or 1. Then,

$$\mathcal{E}_k(G) \cong \prod_{P \in s_p^{(0,1)}(G)} \partial \mathcal{E}_k(G/P),$$

with a generating set described by the previous slide.

Example

Other classes of groups for which we have determined generators for $\mathcal{E}_k(G)$:

- Dihedral groups
- Generalized quaternion groups
- p -nilpotent groups with Sylow p -subgroup one of the above

Downside: explicitly constructing faithful endotrivial complexes is difficult. It's easier to prove they can't exist.

Definition (Trivial source ring)

- The *trivial source ring* $T(kG)$ is the Grothendieck group of ${}_{kG}\mathbf{triv}$ with respect to split exact sequences. It forms a ring via \otimes_k .
- The *orthogonal unit group* $O(T(kG)) \leq T(kG)^\times$ is the subgroup consisting of units $u \in T(kG)^\times$ for which $u^{-1} = u^*$.

Let $C \in Ch^b({}_{kG}\mathbf{triv})$. The *Lefschetz invariant* of C is

$$\Lambda(C) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i [C_i] \in T(kG).$$

Proposition

Let $C \in Ch^b({}_{kG}\mathbf{triv})$ be an endotrivial complex. Then $\Lambda(C) \in O(T(kG))$.

Question: What is the cokernel of $\Lambda(-) : \mathcal{E}_k(G) \rightarrow O(T(kG))$?

Strategy: Consider local homology.

(Boltje & Carman '23) showed that every $u \in O(T(kG))$ can be uniquely expressed as a signed character tuple $(\epsilon_P \cdot \rho_P)_{P \in \mathcal{S}_p(G)}$, with

- $\epsilon_P \in \{\pm 1\}$
- $\rho_P \in \text{Hom}(N_G(P)/P, k^\times)$, i.e. a degree 1 character.

$\epsilon_P \cdot \rho_P$ is the image of $u(P) \in O(T(k[N_G(P)/P]))$ in the Brauer character ring $R_k(N_G(P)/P)$, i.e. the Grothendieck group of kG **mod** with respect to *short* exact sequences.

The Frobenius automorphism $F : k \rightarrow k$, $x \mapsto x^p$, induces a ring automorphism on kG , hence an exact endofunctor on ${}_{kG}\mathbf{mod}$ and ${}_{kG}\mathbf{triv}$. This induces group automorphisms on $O(T(kG))$ and $\mathcal{E}_k(G)$.

Given a kG -module M , let ${}^F M$ be the kG -module which results by twisting by F .

Example

If $\omega \in \text{Hom}(G, k^\times)$, then ${}^F k_{F \circ \omega} \cong k_\omega$ as kG -modules.

It turns out endotrivial complexes have a F -stability condition!

Theorem (M.)

Let $u \in O(T(kG))$ have a corresponding character tuple (ρ_P) , and suppose ρ_1 is the trivial character. If u has an endotrivial lift $C \in \mathcal{E}_k(G)$, then $\rho_P = {}^F \rho_P$ for all $P \in s_p(G)$. Equivalently, all ρ_P are \mathbb{F}_p^\times -valued.

Proof idea: Observe that twisting an endotrivial complex by F preserves h -marks and acts pointwise on local homology. Then cook up a contradiction using the characterization of $\ker \epsilon$. □

This easily extends to the other orthogonal units by twisting by appropriate 1-dimensionals.

Consequences:

- If $p = 2$, the only orthogonal units which can lift arise from units of Burnside rings and ± 1 -dimensionals.
- If $p > 2$ and all primes q which divide $|G|$ satisfy $q \nmid p - 1$, the only units which lift are ± 1 -dimensionals.

Final remarks and ongoing work:

- Determining the cokernel of $\Lambda(-)$ for 2-groups in general seems very hard.
- Tensor induction seems like it should preserve endotriviality, but it generally doesn't :(
- If $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, $\text{res}_S^G : \mathcal{E}_k(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_k(S)$ does not lose any h-mark information! Can we characterize the cokernel? Yes for p -nilpotent groups. (this is analogous to work of Barsotti & Carman for Burnside rings)
- How many of these ideas can be generalized to a “stable w.r.t. \mathcal{X} -projectives” endotrivial setting?
- **Thank you for your time!! - sam :)**